Students majoring in economics at Oberlin College are required to complete a research paper in order to earn their economics major. Below is my paper, which I completed in May 2011 as part

of a course on public-private partnerships. — JBY, 1/23/16

Why do countries have different broadband penetration rates? Jeffrey Bernard Yozwiak May 2011

#### Introduction

Today's markets are global, and both developed and developing countries are increasingly producing service-sector goods. Widespread access to high-speed Internet can be a competitive advantage in today's "knowledge economy" (Choudrie and Lee 2004; OECD 2008).

At the same time, broadband infrastructure requires significant investment. Due to economies of scale, the market may be uncompetitive—dominated by a few large firms—and rural or low-income areas may be underserved (Gillet et al 2003; Choudrie and Lee 2004). Since broadband is excludable but largely nonrival—once the infrastructure has been installed in an area, one household's use does not significantly diminish the service provided to other households—it might be useful to consider broadband as a public good. Governments may want to encourage broadband penetration in order to address equity concerns or modernize their countries.

The International Monetary Fund's *Balance of Payments Manual* (1993) classifies the following transactions as services: transportation (freight and passenger); travel (business and person); communications services; construction services; insurance services; financial services; computer and information services; royalties and licensing fees; and personal, cultural, and

recreational services. By examining the data related to broadband penetration rates, and by using two datasets comprised primarily of developing or developed nations, this paper hypothesizes that first-world, wealthier, or developed nations are exporting services to less-wealthy developing nations. This trade imbalance spurs the demand for premium communications services and partly accounts for the greater broadband penetration rates observed in developed nations.

#### Background

In 1999 and 2000, South Korea experimented with several initiatives to encourage broadband penetration and modernize the country's service industry (Choudrie and Lee 2004). Programs such as "Cyber Korea 21" and "Ten Million People Internet Education" promoted Internet literacy, and the government further provided "US\$77m of loans [to service providers] at preferential rates." The government also deregulated the telecommunications industry and prepaid for broadband service to public buildings. The result was a highly-competitive telecommunications sector that was able to provide next-generation communications services at cutthroat rates. South Korea's high population density facilitated the spread of the new infrastructure, and the government planned to commit an additional US\$926m to extend broadband service to rural areas by 2005. Some researchers have also postulated that broadband adoption in South Korea was aided by the prevalence of Internet cafés which introduced the population to high-speed Internet access and by a cultural predisposition towards improving education.

Broadband Internet access is commonly defined as network connections that carry data at speeds of 64 kilobytes/second to 4.0 megabytes/second (Wikipedia "Broadband Internet

access"). In contrast, maximum data transfer speed for a dial-up Internet connection is 56 kilobytes/second.

In a study of Internet subscription rates in the United States, Gillet et al (2003) suggest that broadband penetration is influenced by several demand- and supply-side factors:

### Demand

- *Population*: Aggregate demand for broadband will be greater among larger populations.
   It is expected but unverified that broadband demand will be greater among more youthful populations.
- *Wealth*: Since broadband is a "premium" service, demand should be greater in wealthier areas.
- *Education*: Gillet et al argue that "white collar workers . . . are more likely to use advanced communications services." One expects that broadband penetration will be greater in areas that have more highly-educated populations and that trade heavily in services.

## Supply

- *Telecommunications sector*: Fixed-line broadband Internet is typically delivered through high-speed fiber-optic cables. Since installing the new infrastructure is costly, the supply of broadband should be greater in areas with robust telecommunications industries.
   Competition should provide the incentive for telecommunications companies to install infrastructure more efficiently and to provide the service at lower rates.
- *Population density*: Broadband infrastructure is easiest to install in densely-populated areas (wiring an apartment building is more cost-effective and ultimately profitable than

wiring an entire suburb). Hence, population density should increase broadband subscription rates.

No study has yet utilized this framework to examine broadband penetration at the international level.

#### **Empirical Framework**

Broadband penetration is analyzed from time-varying panel data using a linear fixedeffects regression:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_1 X_{it} + \beta_2 Z_{it} + \mu_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

where

Y = broadband penetration

X = demand-side variables

Z = supply-side variables

- $\mu$  = individual fixed-effect
- $\epsilon$  = unexplained error

*Broadband penetration* is measured as the number of fixed-line broadband Internet subscribers per 100 citizens in a county.

Demand-side variables include the total population as well as the percentage of the population between ages 15 and 64 and the percentage of the population over the age of 64. Since the total population varies widely among the sample data, it has been transformed logarithmically. The latter two variables are included in order to isolate which age group is driving the demand for broadband; the collinearity between these three measures is insignificant. One expects all variables to be positively correlated with broadband penetration, and that youthful populations will have a greater demand for broadband.

*GDP*—transformed logarithmically—and *GDP per capita* are used to measure the wealth of a country. This variable should be positively correlated with broadband penetration. Although data on adult and youth literacy rates was available, it would have presented collinearity problems and was not rich enough to be included in the regression. But because education should increase with income levels, and measures of wealth will proxy for the education levels of a country.

The "white-collar" demand of a country is measured by the logarithmic transformations of *commercial service imports* and *exports* (both *in U.S. dollars*). Countries that trade a high volume of commercial services will likely have a greater demand for high-speed Internet access. The demand of highly-educated citizens is also estimated by the number of *researchers and technicians per 1 million citizens*. Broadband penetration should be greater in countries that are more engaged in "knowledge work" (Anderson 2006; Pink 2006).

The sole *supply-side variable* is *mobile cellular subscriptions per 100 citizens*. Since cellular subscription rates often increase as broadband subscription rates increase (Yang et al 2009), and since broadband providers often also provide cellular service (Yang et al 2009), this variable should indicate the robustness of a country's telecommunications sector. However, especially in developing countries, high cellular subscription rates may also indicate a lack of fixed-line infrastructure. Even in developed countries (for example, Japan), cellular subscriptions could substitute for fixed-line broadband access and may dampen demand for broadband.

#### Data

The World Bank freely provides data on the preceding variables for a variety of countries. The sample period is 2001-2009. Because the data was more complete for some countries than others, two datasets have been constructed. The first dataset excludes all countries

for which broadband penetration rates were not available for half of the sample period. Since even fixed effects regressions require variation in order to run, countries for which broadband penetration did not vary from 0 during the sample period were also excluded. As one might expect, countries that were excluded from this first dataset were primarily small developing nations for which data was not readily available. Dataset 1 still includes a mix both developing and developed countries, for a total of 187 countries.

. sum broadbandper poptot pop1564per pop65per gdp gdppercap mobilecellsubsper comexusdol comservim whitecollarper popdensity

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
broadbandper   poptot   pop1564per   pop65per   gdp	1559 1615 1521 1521 1554	4.855606 3.43e+07 63.01351 7.627221 2.64e+11	8.639515 1.30e+08 6.566622 4.988281 1.09e+12	0 19626 47.7646 .996999 7.65e+07	75.1859 1.30e+09 82.9247 21.9542 1.44e+13
gdppercap   mobilecell~r   comexusdol   comservim   whitecolla~r	1549 1598 1363 1363 336	11594.77 53.13112 1.65e+10 1.54e+10 2434.33	17866.28 42.90017 4.35e+10 3.79e+10 2139.813	85.54153 0 0 8.77476	138354 232.068 5.10e+11 3.70e+11 10126.21
popdensity	1615	305.9581 Figure 1	1466.821 : Summary of Da	.1365842 taset 1.	19213.29

As Figure 1 shows, there is a great degree of variation in several key parameters (namely, *GDP*, *GDP per capita*, and *total population*). Furthermore, some parameters are more complete—have more observations—than others. As shown in the Appendix, Dataset 1 contains far more developing nations than developed ones. Because the data from developing nations might have influenced the regression results—and because developed nations might be best able to implement any policy recommendations—a second dataset has been constructed using only members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Dataset 2 contains 33 countries.

. sum broadbandper poptot pop1564per pop65per gdp gdppercap mobilecellsubsper comexusdol comservim whitecollarper popdensity

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
broadbandper   poptot   pop1564per   pop65per   gdp	297 297 297 297 297 297 297	13.92709 3.61e+07 67.25484 14.29111 1.06e+12	10.68104 5.62e+07 2.140944 3.533919 2.26e+12	0 285000 61.8866 5.33827 6.24e+09	41.1188 3.10e+08 72.538 21.9542 1.44e+13
gdppercap   mobilecell~r   comexusdol   comservim   whitecolla~r	297 297 295 295 295 146	29585.03 91.78732 5.67e+10 5.05e+10 3864.34	18872.68 27.30578 7.67e+10 6.51e+10 2110.79	2906.188 21.9786 1.00e+09 9.50e+08 374.5624	117954.7 202.973 5.10e+11 3.70e+11 10126.21
popdensity	297	138.4637 Figure 2:	131.5325 Summary of Dat	2.526978 taset 2.	502.9612

A point of note: Dataset 2 excludes several outlier nations. For instance, the maximum *broadband subscriptions per 100 citizens* drops from 75 to 41 due to the exclusion of Liechtenstein, a German-speaking country in Alps. Liechtenstein is considered the richest country in the world as measured by GDP per capita, but it has a population of only 35,000 (Wikipedia "Liechtenstein"). Hopefully, excluding such outlier nations will yield a better-fit regression.

## Results

# Dataset 1: All Countries

. xtreg broadbandper lnpoptot pop1564per pop65per lngdp mobilecellsubsper lncommexdol lncommimdol whitecollarper popdensity, fe

Fixed-effects Group variable				Number o <sup>.</sup> Number o <sup>.</sup>		510
between	= 0.7474 = 0.0313 = 0.0485			Obs per ;	group: min = avg = max =	4.7
corr(u_i, Xb)	= -0.9853			F(9,241) Prob > F		79.23 0.0000
broadbandper		Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
lnpoptot   pop1564per	26.15795 -2.591909	7.356293 .4924988	3.56 -5.26	0.000 0.000	11.66711 -3.562061	

pop65per    ngdp   mobilecell~r   lncommexdol   lncommimdol	1.19033 -3.162183 .0561702 .1799305 9.912184	.7221183 1.9863 .0175798 1.631881 2.018968	1.65 -1.59 3.20 0.11 4.91	0.101 0.113 0.002 0.912 0.000	2321389 -7.074908 .0215405 -3.03464 5.935107	2.6128 .7505423 .0907998 3.394501 13.88926
whitecolla~r   popdensity	.0036565 .0073854 -420.9733	.000623 .0031645 113.8155	5.87 2.33 -3.70	0.000 0.020 0.000	.0024292 .0011518 -645.1736	.0048837 .013619 -196.7731
cons + sigma_u_ sigma_e_	48.715508 2.6415582					-196.//31
rho   .99706836 (fraction of variance due to u_i) F test that all u_i=0: F(67, 241) = 13.35 Prob > F = 0.0000						
Figure 3: Fixed-effects regression results for Dataset 1.						

An F-value of 79.23 and an  $R^2$  of 0.74 indicate that this regression is highly significant and explains a large degree of the variation in international broadband penetration rates. Nearly all of the variables, with the exception of *GDP* and *commercial service exports*, are as significant.

Because the parameter *total population* carries a coefficient of 26.16 and is highly significant, this researcher concludes that total population is by far the largest determinant of broadband penetration. Surprisingly, the *percentage of the population between ages 15 and 64* significantly decreases broadband penetration; the *percentage of population over the age of 64* increases broadband penetration by half that amount but is significant only at the 10% level. These results suggest that while total population drives the demand for broadband, the *working age* and *retiree* population of a country do not.

These results could arise from including a disproportionate number of developing countries in the dataset, since in developing countries the working age population may be more likely to be engaged in manufacturing or manual labor—occupations which do not demand high-speed broadband access—rather than knowledge work (Anderson 2006; Pink 2006). Since the number of *researchers and technicians* in a country do significantly drive demand (albeit only slightly), this conclusion seems valid.

The percentage of the population under the age of 15 was constructed by subtracting the percentage of the population over the age of 64 and the percentage of the population between ages 15 and 64 from 100. Although one might suspect that the youth population of a country would drive the demand for broadband, a fixed-effects regression run using this constructed variable contradicts that hypothesis. The coefficient on the parameter is -1.19 and significant at the 10% level (Figure 4). Again, the results may be biased by the disproportionate number developing nations in the dataset; in developing nations, youths may be less likely to surf the web, communicate online, or play massively-multiplayer online video games-leisure activities that all demand high-speed internet access (Choundire and Lee 2004).

. xtreg broadbandper lnpoptot pop14per pop1564per lngdp mobilecellsubsper lncommexdol lncommimdol whitecollarper popdensity, fe

				Number o Number o		
betweer	= 0.7474 n = 0.0313 L = 0.0485			Obs per	group: min = avg = max =	4.7
corr(u_i, Xb)	= -0.9853			F(9,241) Prob > F		
broadbandper	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
<pre>Inpoptot   pop14per   pop1564per   lngdp   mobilecell~r   lncommexdol   lncommimdol   whitecolla~r   popdensity  cons  </pre>	26.15795 -1.190329 -3.782238 -3.162184 .0561702 .1799311 9.912185 .0036565 .0073854 -301.9404	7.356293 .7221183 .7376827 1.9863 .0175798 1.631881 2.018968 .000623 .0031645 113.6721	3.56 -1.65 -5.13 -1.59 3.20 0.11 4.91 5.87 2.33 -2.66	0.000 0.101 0.000 0.113 0.002 0.912 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.020 0.008	11.66711 -2.612798 -5.235367 -7.07491 .0215405 -3.034639 5.935109 .0024292 .0011518 -525.858	40.64879 .2321404 -2.329109 .7505409 .0907998 3.394501 13.88926 .0048837 .013619 -78.02279
sigma_u   sigma_e   rho   F test that al	2.6415583 .99706836	• • •	= 13.3	 35	Prob >	F = 0.0000

Figure 4: A fixed-effects regression run using the percentage of the population under the age of 15 instead of the percentage of the population over the age of 64.

After total population, the second-largest determinant of broadband penetration appears to be *commercial services imports*. While *commercial service exports* also positively influences broadband penetration, in Figure 3 it is the sole insignificant parameter. This suggests that countries heavily trading in services will have greater broadband penetration rates. The impact of *commercial services imports* and *exports* reverses when the fixed-effects regression is run on only OECD countries, but that will be explained in the next section.

*Mobile cellular subscriptions* also influence broadband penetration, albeit only slightly. One suspects that a robust telecommunications sector is integral to widespread broadband penetration. Because mobile cellular subscriptions appear to increase broadband penetration, there is no significant trade-off between cellular subscriptions and broadband subscriptions. Instead, the two may be complements.

Finally, the wealth and education of a country, as measured by the logarithmic transformation of *GDP*, would decrease the demand for broadband were it significant. Income *distribution* rather than *total* wealth may be a more important driver of broadband demand, as supported by the results of the regression in Figure 5. With an F-value of 133.62 and an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.83, this regression is actually more significant than the one in Figure 3. In the regression in Figure 5, *GDP per capita* increases broadband penetration only slightly but is highly significant. This supports the conclusion that since broadband is a premium service, it is more likely to be demanded by wealthier households.

. xtreg broadbandper lnpoptot pop1564per pop65per gdppercap mobilecellsubsper lncommexdol lncommimdol whitecollarper

popdensity, fe

Fixed-effects (within) regression	Number of obs =	318
Group variable: countrynum~r	Number of groups =	68
		4
R-sq: within = 0.8331	Obs per group: min =	T

between overall		avg = max =	4.7 8			
corr(u_i, Xb)	= -0.9413			F(9,241) Prob > F	=	133.62 0.0000
broadbandper	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
<pre>Inpoptot   pop1564per   pop65per   gdppercap   mobilecell~r   Incommexdol   Incommimdol   whitecolla~r   popdensity  </pre>	5.745479 -1.697694 2.442949 .000477 .0536753 -4.322522 5.483412 .0028431 .0044956 -47.59476	6.23757 .4082165 .5914617 .0000422 .0135962 1.324131 1.390707 .0005116 .0025857 98.35216	0.92 -4.16 4.13 11.29 3.95 -3.26 3.94 5.56 1.74 -0.48	0.358 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.083 0.629	-6.541637 -2.501822 1.277855 .0003938 .0268927 -6.93087 2.743919 .0018353 0005978 -241.3344	18.03259 8935666 3.608043 .0005602 .0804579 -1.714174 8.222905 .0038509 .009589 146.1449
sigma_u     sigma_e   rho	18.352538 2.1474978 .98649275	(fraction (	of variar	nce due to	u_i)	
F test that all u_i=0: $F(67, 241) = 21.07$ Prob > F = 0.0000 Figure 5: Using GDP per capita instead of GDP as a measure of wealth.						

Furthermore, the regression in Figure 5 tells a slightly different story than one in Figure

3. *Total population* exerts a smaller but insignificant effect. While the coefficients and significance levels of most of the other parameters do not change, the results for *commercial service exports* and *imports* continue to be puzzling. Both parameters are highly significant, but *commercial service exports* now decreases broadband penetration by nearly the same amount as *commercial service imports* increases it.

# Dataset 2: OECD Countries

. xtreg broadbandper lnpoptot pop1564per pop65per lngdp mobilecellsubsper lncommexdol lncommimdol whitecollarper popdensity, fe

Fixed-effects (within) regression	Number of obs	=	145
Group variable: countrynum~r	Number of groups	=	27
R-sq: within = 0.8498		n =	1
between = 0.0181		g =	5.4
overall = 0.0434		x =	8
	F(9,109)	=	68.51

corr(u_i,	Xb)	= -0.9952
-----------	-----	-----------

broadbandper	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
<pre>Inpoptot  </pre>	23.17325	11.91633	1.94	0.054	4445335	46.79103
pop1564per	-2.149608	.8420006	-2.55	0.012	-3.818426	4807901
pop65per	.3972617	.9745043	0.41	0.684	-1.534174	2.328697
lngdp	-1.874749	3.494113	-0.54	0.593	-8.799967	5.05047
mobilecell~r	.0684825	.0296765	2.31	0.023	.0096647	.1273003
lncommexdol	9.840947	3.559912	2.76	0.007	2.785318	16.89658
lncommimdol	3.11779	4.213264	0.74	0.461	-5.232763	11.46834
whitecolla~r	.0011872	.000785	1.51	0.133	0003687	.0027431
popdensity	.5083158	.1753971	2.90	0.005	.1606844	.8559473
_cons	-573.2891	182.4094	-3.14	0.002	-934.8187	-211.7596
sigma_u	95.121711					
sigma_e	2.521804					
rho	.99929764	(fraction	ot variar	nce due t	o u_i)	
F test that all u_i=0:F(26, 109) =17.79Prob > F = 0.0000Figure 6: Fixed-effects regression results for only OECD member nations.						

The model when applied to only OECD countries yields similar results. An F-value of 68.51 and an  $R^2$  of 0.85 indicate that this model is highly significant and explains a large degree of the variation in the data. While fewer of the parameters are significant, few of the coefficient estimates vary notably. Thus, even with a smaller dataset, the model proposed in this paper appears to be the best available predictor of international broadband subscription rates.

Among the OECD countries, *total population* continues to drive broadband penetration more than any of the other parameters. This parameter is significant at the 10% level, while the *working age population* and the *retiree population* are no longer significant.

With an estimated coefficient of 9.84, *commercial service imports* increase broadband penetration nearly as much *commercial service exports* did in the first estimation. *Commercial service imports* is positive and significant at the 1% level; *commercial service imports* is positive but insignificant. While the reversal is surprising, it nonetheless still suggests that countries heavily trading in commercial services will have greater broadband penetration rates.

Since Dataset 1 includes more developing nations than developed ones, and since that relationship is reversed in Dataset 2, the observed switch between *commercial service imports* and *commercial service exports* could suggest that developing nations are importing commercial services from developed ones. Business writers who study Internet-era economics have recently begun to argue that first-world nations need to maintain their competitive edge in service sector industries. Daniel Pink has noted the surge in Indian accountants and Chinese programmers, and Chris Anderson—editor-in-chief of *Wired* and former business editor for *The Economist*—has reported on the effects of Chinese intellectual property theft. Even though generalizations should not be made without sufficient data, the results of the regressions in Figures 3 and 6 might suggest that developing nations have primarily been importing services from developed nations for the past decade.

Furthermore, among the OECD member nations, higher *population density* leads to higher broadband penetration. The effect is small but very significant. This confirms the expectation that broadband penetration benefits from economies of scale, that it is easier to install broadband infrastructure among a densely-concentrated, urban population.

The effect of *GDP* is, surprisingly, negative and insignificant. As with Dataset 1, replacing *GDP* with *GDP per capita* yields a more significant regression and a small but highly significant effect for household wealth.

. xtreg broadbandper lnpoptot pop1564per pop65per gdppercap mobilecellsubsper lncommexdol lncommimdol whitecollarper popdensity, fe					
Fixed-effects (within) regression	Number of obs =	145			
Group variable: countrynum~r	Number of groups =	27			
R-sq: within = 0.8983	Obs per group: min =	1			
between = 0.0526	avg =	5.4			
overall = 0.0477	max =	8			
	F(9,109) =	106.93			
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.9583	Prob > F =	0.0000			

broadbandper	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
lnpoptot	18.89587	9.774066	1.93	0.056	4760142	38.26775
pop1564per	-1.548924	.6666119	-2.32	0.022	-2.870127	2277209
pop65per	1.892988	.8278374	2.29	0.024	.2522416	3.533735
gdppercap	.0004502	.0000622	7.24	0.000	.0003269	.0005735
mobilecell~r	.0742936	.0225115	3.30	0.001	.0296764	.1189107
lncommexdol	4.165353	3.033346	1.37	0.173	-1.84664	10.17735
lncommimdol	3208878	3.079249	-0.10	0.917	-6.423859	5.782083
whitecolla~r	.0015207	.0006309	2.41	0.018	.0002703	.0027711
popdensity	0186352	.1586906	-0.12	0.907	3331547	.2958844
_cons	-331.368	153.8179	-2.15	0.033	-636.23	-26.50593
sigma u	31.664265					
sigma e	2.0753834					
rho	.99572244	(fraction	of varian	nce due t	o u_i)	
F test that all u_i=0:F(26, 109) =28.53Prob > F = 0.0000Figure 7: Using GDP per capita instead of GDP as a measure of wealth in OECD member nations.						

In Figure 7, the effects of the population parameters and *mobile cellular subscriptions* are

stronger and more significant. These results can be explained as before.

	Figure 3	Figure 4	Figure 5	Figure 6	Figure 7
Dataset	1	1	1	2	2
Total population	26.157	26.157	5.745	23.173	18.896
	(7.356)***	(7.356)***	(6.238)	(11.916)**	(9.774)*
Percentage of the	-2.591	-3.782	-1.698	-2.150	-1.549
population between the	(0.492)***	(0.738)***	(0.408)***	(0.842)***	(0.667)**
ages of 15 and 64					
Percentage of the	1.190		2.443	0.397	1.892
population over the age	(0.722)*		(0.591)***	(0.975)	(0.828)**
of 64					
Percentage of the		-1.190			
population under the		(0.722)*			
age of 15					
GDP	-3.162	-3.162		-1.874	
	(1.986)	(1.986)		(3.494)	
GDP per capita			0.000		0.000
			(0.000)***		(0.000)***
Commercial service	0.179	0.179	-4.323	9.841	4.165
exports (USD)	(1.631)	(1.631)	(1.324)***	(3.560)***	(3.033)
Commercial service	9.912	9.912	5.483	3.118	-0.321
imports (USD)	(2.018)***	(2.018)***	(1.390)***	(4.213)	(3.079)
Researchers and	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.002
technicians per 1	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)	(0.001)**

million citizens					
Mobile cellular subscriptions per 100 citizens	0.056 (0.018)***	0.056 (0.018)***	0.054 (0.016)***	0.068 (0.030)**	0.074 (0.022)***
Population density	0.007 (0.003)**	0.007 (0.003)**	0.004 (0.003)*	0.508 (0.175)***	-0.019 (0.157)

\*\*\* 1% level of significance

\*\* 5% level of significance

\* 10% level of significance

Figure 8: Summary of results.

#### Conclusions

At the international level, the largest determinants of broadband penetration are total population and commercial services trade. The coefficients on measures of wealth, education, population density, and telecommunications sector robustness are often small but highly significant; this researcher concludes that they contribute to broadband penetration as well although to a lesser degree.

Since total population and commercial services trade act primarily by increasing the demand for broadband, it would appear that demand-side variables are more important than supply-side variables in determining nationwide broadband penetration. It may that a strong service-sector economy stimulates broadband penetration not only directly but also indirectly by typifying the kind of wealthy, highly-educated populace likely to demand premium communications services.

From a policy perspective, then, it would seem that governments can best increase broadband penetration by stimulating the service sectors of their economies (total population is largely outside a government's control). A strong service sector provides a healthy environment for broadband penetration.

Future Research

To verify the conclusions above, a new dataset should be constructed that models the effects of different government programs. Gillet et al (2003) suggest that to promote broadband penetration, a government can assume the role of 1) user, 2) rule-maker, 3) financier, and 4) infrastructure developer (Gillet et al 2003). In the first role, the government stimulates demand; in the latter three, it encourages supply. In the first three roles, the government is a provider; only in the last role is it a producer. A simple way to augment the model proposed in this paper would be to include dummy variables indicating whether or not a government has acted in one or more of the four roles. Such a study could provide more precise policy recommendations.

## Appendix

The full list of countries in Dataset 1 is as follows:

AlbaniaGreecePakistanAlgeriaGreenlandPalauAndorraGrenadaPanamaAngolaGuatemalaPapua New GuineaAntigua and BarbudaGuineaParaguay
AndorraGrenadaPanamaAngolaGuatemalaPapua New Guinea
AndorraGrenadaPanamaAngolaGuatemalaPapua New Guinea
•
Antigua and Barbuda Guinea Paraguay
Argentina Guinea-Bissau Peru
Armenia Guyana Philippines
Aruba Haiti Poland
Australia Honduras Portugal
Austria Hong Kong SAR Puerto Rico
the Bahamas Hungary Qatar
Bahrain Iceland Romania
Barbados India Russia
Belarus Indonesia Rwanda
Belgium Iran Samoa
Belize Iraq San Marino
Benin Ireland Sao Tome and Principe
Bermuda Israel Saudi Arabia
Bhutan Italy Senegal
Bolivia Jamaica Serbia
Bosnia and Herzegovina Japan Seychelles
Botswana Jordan Sierra Leone
Brazil Kazakhstan Singapore
Brunei Darussalam Kenya Slovak Republic
BulgariaKorea (Rep. of)Slovenia

**Burkina** Faso Burundi Cambodia Cameroon Canada Cape Verde Chad Chile China Colombia Congo (Dem. Rep.) Costa Rica Cote d'Ivoire Croatia Cuba Cyprus Czech Republic Denmark Djibouti Dominica **Dominican Republic** Ecuador Egypt El Salvador Equatorial Guinea Estonia Ethiopia Faeroe Islands Fiii Finland France French Polynesia Gabon Gambia Georgia Germany

Kuwait Kyrgyz Republic Lao PDR Latvia Lebanon Lesotho Libya Liechtenstein Lithuania Luxembourg Macedonia Madagascar Malawi Malaysia Maldives Mali Malta Mauritania Mauritius Mexico Micronesia Moldova Mongolia Montenegro Morocco Mozambique Myanmar Namibia Nepal Netherlands New Caledonia New Zealand Nicaragua Niger N. Mariana Islands Norway

Solomon Islands Somalia South Africa Spain Sri Lanka St. Kitts and Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent and the Grenadines Sudan Suriname Swaziland Sweden Switzerland Syrian Arab Republic Tajikistan Tanzania Thailand Togo Tonga Trinidad and Tobago Tunisia Turkey Uganda Ukraine United Arab Emirates United Kingdom United States Uruguay Uzbekistan Vanuatu Venezuela Vietnam Virgin Islands (U.S.) West Bank and Gaza Yemen Zambia Zimbabwe

The full list of countries in Dataset 2 is as follows:

Australia Greece Norway Austria Hungary Poland Belgium Iceland Portugal Canada Israel Slovak Republic Chile Italy Slovenia Czech Republic Japan Spain

Denmark	Korea (Rep. of)
Estonia	Luxembourg
Finland	Mexico
France	Netherlands
Germany	New Zealand

Sweden Switzerland Turkey United Kingdom United States

## References

Anderson, C., 2006. The Long Tail: Why the Future of Business is Selling Less of More.

Choudire, J., Heejin, L., 2004. Broadband development in South Korea: institutional and cultural factors. European Journal of Information Systems, 103-114.

Choudrie, J., Papazafeiropoulou, A., 2005. Lessons learnt from the broadband diffusion in South Korea and the UK: Implications for future government intervention in technology diffusion. Electronic Government, an International Journal, 373-385.

Gillet, S., William, L., Osorio, C., 2003. Local Government Broadband Initiatives. http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/blogs/gems/cosorio/localgovbrbd.pdf.

International Monetary Fund, 1993. Balance of Payments Manual.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2008. The Future of the Internet Economy. http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/44/56/40827598.pdf.

Pink, D., 2006. A Whole New Mind: Why Right-Brainers Will Rule the Future.

Wikipedia, 2011. Broadband Internet access.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Broadband internet access.

Wikipedia, 2011. Liechtenstein. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liechenstein.

Yang, H., Youngjin, Y., Lyytinen, K., Ahn, J., 2009. Diffusion of Broadband Mobile Services in Korea: The Role of Standards and Its Impact on Diffusion of Complex Technology System.

http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.84.5381&rep=rep1&type=pdf.